

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE **Patent and Trademark Office**

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. FIRST NAMED INVENTOR FILING DATE PUZDER S SC10861TP

MM92/0428

**EXAMINER** 

HARRY A WOLIN MOTOROLA INC AUSTIN INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY LAW SEC 7700 WEST PARMER LANE MD TX32 PL02 AUSTIN TX 78729

CRUZ.L PAPER NUMBER **ART UNIT** 2815

DATE MAILED:

04/28/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/443,443	POZDER ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Lourdes C. Cruz	2815
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE $\underline{1}$ MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.		
<ul> <li>Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.</li> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).</li> <li>Status</li> </ul>		
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 N	lovember 1999 .	
_ <u> </u>	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.		
A) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claims 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or elements  Application Papers  9) The specification is objected to by the Examine  10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 13 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 14 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 15 the oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	vn from consideration.  lection requirement.  r. b by the Examiner is: a) □ approved b) □ disapp	roved.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119  13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of the CERTIFIE 1. received.  2. received in Application No. (Series Code 3. received in this National Stage application * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestication in the second stage application acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestication for a list of 14.	ED copies of the priority docume  / Serial Number)  n from the International Bureau (In the certified copies not received)	nts have been: PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
Attachment(s)		
14) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 15) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 16) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	18) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 3-98) Application/Control Number: 09/443,443

Art Unit: 2815

## **DETAILED ACTION**

This Office Action is in response to an Application filed November 22, 1999.

## Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 12-23, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257, subclass 734.
- II. Claims 1-11, drawn to a method for making a semiconductor device, classified in class 438, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make other and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case unpatentability of Group I invention does not necessarily imply unpatentability of Group II invention, since the device of Group I could be made by a materially different product. For example, the device of Group I invention could be made by selectively depositing the dielectric layer over the conductive bond pad so that no undesired portions of it have to be removed.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Application/Control Number: 09/443,443

Art Unit: 2815

1270

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Lourdes C. Cruz whose telephone number is 707-306-

5691. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00- 4:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Mahshid D Saadat can be reached on 703-308-0956. The fax phone

numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-

308-7722 for regular communications and 703-308-7722 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

0956.

Lourdes C. Cruz Examiner

Art Unit 2815

Lourdes Cruz April 26, 2000

PRIMARY EXAMINED

Page 3